



## Impact of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on mean relative growth rate of maize aphid, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch (Aphididae: Hemiptera) reared on maize for three successive generations

Mounica D<sup>1\*</sup>, Krishnayya PV<sup>1</sup>, Srinivasa Rao, M<sup>3</sup>, AK Patibanda<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Entomology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, ANGRAU, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>2</sup> Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Santhosh Nagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

<sup>3</sup> Department of Plant Pathology, Agricultural College, Bapatla, ANGRAU, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

### Abstract

The objective of this study was to estimate the mean relative growth rate (MRGR) of maize aphid, *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch (Aphididae: Hemiptera), reared on maize for three successive generations under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (*e*CO<sub>2</sub>) (550 ppm ± 25 ppm) and ambient CO<sub>2</sub> (*a*CO<sub>2</sub>) (380 ppm ± 25 ppm) concentrations at six temperatures of 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C in open-top chambers and CO<sub>2</sub> growth chambers with CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature regulation. Experiment was conducted by adopting agar-leaf method. The impact of *e*CO<sub>2</sub> with six constant temperatures on the MRGR of *R. maidis* was found significant in three generations. MRGR of *R. maidis* was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 35°C (0.269 to 0.464 µg µg<sup>-1</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> compared to that of *a*CO<sub>2</sub> conditions across the three generations. The present results obtained in this study might provide useful information to aphid control and developing management strategies.

**Keywords:** elevated CO<sub>2</sub>; *Rhopalosiphum maidis*; successive generations; temperature; mean relative growth rate

### Introduction

Maize aphid *Rhopalosiphum maidis* Fitch (Aphididae: Hemiptera), a phloem feeding hemipteran insect and causes significant yield losses about 20.1 to 25 percent. It is a polyphagous species occurring worldwide on sorghum, barley and wheat besides maize [12]. It is now distributed worldwide in the tropics and warmer temperate regions [3]. In an anholocyclic life cycle female aphids produce nymphs without fertilization from the males. Aphids cause mechanical harm and malnutrition to plants by the removal of phloem sap.

Agriculture is one of the most vulnerable sectors to the anticipated climate change with an adverse effect on crop yields. The increased levels of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations can have a direct effect on the growth rate of crop plants. Temperature has an indirect influence on morphological and biochemical constituents of plants. The predicted changes in temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration affect the insect pest population dynamics of various crops. The future estimations of ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration predict an increase up to 550 ppm within a few decades [7].

Such rise in CO<sub>2</sub> levels affects the biological system of living organisms, including insects [5].

Temperature has a direct influence on insect activity and their rate of development. Climate change could profoundly affect the population dynamics and the status of insect pests of crops [8]. The average increase in temperature was found to be 0.87°C for the decade of 2006-2015 [7].

Hence, in the present study the mean relative growth rate of *R. maidis* for three successive generations of was examined at two levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and six different temperatures which would be useful in prediction of pest population.

### Materials and Methods

#### Open top chambers

This experiment was conducted in open-top chambers (OTCs) of 4 X 4 X 4 m dimensions, located at ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture (CRIDA), Hyderabad (17° 38' N; 78° 47' E) were utilized for raising of maize crop under *e*CO<sub>2</sub> (550 ± 25 ppm) and *a*CO<sub>2</sub> (380 ± 25 ppm) concentrations. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is maintained by pumping CO<sub>2</sub> diluted with air by air compressor [13]. The 100 per cent CO<sub>2</sub> gas of commercial grade was used to elevate CO<sub>2</sub> levels within the chambers. CO<sub>2</sub> analyzer, PLC and SCADA programme with PC were used to maintain the desired level of CO<sub>2</sub> within the OTCs along with temperature and relative humidity sensors.

#### CO<sub>2</sub> growth chambers with CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature regulation

Plants and insects were maintained in growth chambers (I 36LL; Percival Scientific, Inc. Perry, USA) under elevated and ambient concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (550 and 380 ppm ± 25 ppm, respectively) at six constant temperatures of 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C and 60-70 per cent relative humidity with a photoperiod ratio of 14L: 10D (14 hours of light: 10 hours of dark). Light illumination is provided through fluorescent lamps horizontally mounted in pairs above each shelf. Air circulation inside the chamber was maintained from a specifically designed air diffuser. The period of light, CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and temperature levels were automatically monitored and controlled using Intellus Ultra Controller.

#### Maintenance of maize crop and growth conditions

Seeds of maize plants (DHM-117) were sown in OTCs and

Growth chambers and typical representative alfisols with red soil type were maintained. The maize plants were raised under respective set conditions of elevated and ambient concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (550 and 380ppm ± 25 ppm, respectively) at six constant temperatures of 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C. The leaves were detached from these plants and were used for the maintenance of the *R. maidis* culture for experimentation. Fully grown foliage (30 days after sowing) obtained from respective set conditions was used for feeding trials and the crop was maintained at insecticide free condition throughout the experiment to understand the impact of eCO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on insect pests.

#### Maintenance of *R. maidis* culture

The test insect, corn leaf aphids, *R. maidis* (family: Aphididae; Order: Hemiptera) were collected from the field and maintained in the entomology laboratory of ICAR-CRIDA. The nymphs and adults were reared individually in petridishes of 110 mm diameter of 10 mm height to obtain the mass culture for experiments. The culture was maintained for a number of generations by adopting agar-leaf method [6,10] in growth chambers at elevated and ambient concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (550 and 380ppm ± 25 ppm, respectively) at six constant temperatures of 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C and a photoperiod of 14L:10D. Light intensity of 30, 000 Lx was provided by 26 W fluorescent bulb inside the chambers during the 14 hours light period with relative humidity of 60 % (day) and 70 % (night).

#### Insect feeding method

Experiments on development of *R. maidis* for three successive generations were conducted by adopting agar-leaf method at elevated and ambient concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (550 and 380ppm ± 25 ppm, respectively) at six temperatures of 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35±1°C and a photoperiod of 14L:10D. Agar medium was prepared with 1g of agar and 100 ml distilled water. The mixture was heated to boiling point in a microwave oven and then cooled to 45°C by stirring. The mixture was poured in petridishes and left undisturbed until it solidified. The corn leaf from the top of corn seedlings of 1-2 months old was detached and placed in agar medium. The agar medium helpful to keep the leaf fresh and the leaves were changed on every 3 days. The first instar nymphs were collected carefully from the stock culture with the help of wet camel hair brush and transferred individually into each petridish containing maize leaves obtained from respective set conditions with 25 replications per each treatment. Each nymph was examined daily and the MRGR was calculated.

#### Mean Relative Growth Rate (MRGR) of *R. maidis*

The MRGR of an insect was determined by using the primary data relating to first instar nymphal weight and adult aphid weight. Each first instar aphid nymph was individually weighed and recored as aphid initial weight (W<sub>1</sub>). The adult aphid weight was recorded individually which served as final weight (W<sub>2</sub>). All weights of aphids were recorded using AUW 220D dual range semi micro balance (0.01- 0.001 µg).

The MRGR was calculated using the formula, <sup>[1]</sup>.

$$\text{MRGR} = [\ln (W_2) - \ln (W_1)] / t_2 - t_1$$

Where

W<sub>1</sub> = Initial weight, W<sub>2</sub> = Final weight,  
t<sub>2</sub>-t<sub>1</sub> = Time taken in days between the two weighings.

#### Statistical analysis

The data pertaining to the first instar nymphal weight, adult aphid weight and MRGR of *R. maidis* was analyzed using two way ANOVA with the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature levels as main factor and sub factors deployed in split plot design. The MRGR of *R. maidis* with the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> (main factor), temperatures (sub factor) and generations as sub-sub factor deployed in split-split plot design.

#### Results and Discussion

##### Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on first instar nymphal weight *R. maidis* on maize for three successive generations

The results on impact of elevated and ambient concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> (550 and 380ppm ± 25 ppm, respectively) at six temperatures of 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C on first instar nymphal weight of *R. maidis* was found significant across its three successive generations (Table 1).

The impact of eCO<sub>2</sub> at six constant temperatures on the first instar nymphal weight of *R. maidis* was found significant in three generations. Significant differences were observed in first (F<sub>11, 24</sub> = 169.10, P = <0.01), second (F<sub>11, 24</sub> = 261.44, P = <0.01) and third (F<sub>11, 24</sub> = 183.57, P = <0.01) generation under two levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and six temperature conditions. In the first generation, the first instar nymphal weight was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 27°C but significantly declined at 30°C to 35°C under eCO<sub>2</sub> compared to that of aCO<sub>2</sub> conditions. Under eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 ppm ± 25 ppm) and six constant temperatures viz., 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C the weight was resulted that 3.67, 3.782, 3.897, 3.750, 3.165 and 2.782 µg day<sup>-1</sup> whereas under aCO<sub>2</sub> (380 ppm ± 25 ppm) and six constant temperatures resulted that 3.532, 3.633, 3.742, 3.445, 3.171 and 2.363 µg day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Likewise similar trend was observed in the second generation under eCO<sub>2</sub> (3.79, 3.869, 3.977, 3.808, 3.343 and 2.945 µg day<sup>-1</sup>) compared to that of aCO<sub>2</sub> (3.585, 3.718, 3.825, 3.594, 3.265 and 2.526 µg day<sup>-1</sup>) conditions across the temperatures. The first instar nymphal weight in the third generation was significantly increased under eCO<sub>2</sub> (3.85, 3.925, 4.034, 3.693, 3.026 and 2.352 µg day<sup>-1</sup>) whereas under aCO<sub>2</sub> the weight was decreased and was resulted that (3.677, 3.774, 3.865, 3.384, 2.671 and 1.878 µg day<sup>-1</sup>), respectively across the temperatures.

##### Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on adult aphid weight *R. maidis* on maize for three successive generations

The impact of eCO<sub>2</sub> at six constant temperatures on the adult aphid weight of *R. maidis* was found significant in three generations. Significant differences were observed in first (F<sub>11, 24</sub> = 3309.37, P = <0.01), second (F<sub>11, 24</sub> = 2770.18, P = <0.01) and third (F<sub>11, 24</sub> = 43.85, P = <0.01) generation under two levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and six temperature conditions (Table 2).

In the first generation, adult aphid weight was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 27°C but significantly declined at 30°C to 35°C under eCO<sub>2</sub> compared to that of aCO<sub>2</sub> conditions. Under eCO<sub>2</sub> (550 ppm ± 25 ppm) and six constant temperatures viz., 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35 ± 1°C,

resulted that 5.43, 5.468, 5.539, 5.373, 4.772 and 4.651  $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$  whereas under  $a\text{CO}_2$  (380 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures resulted that 5.308, 5.426, 5.487, 5.234, 4.648 and 4.138  $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$ , respectively. The adult aphid weight was significantly more in the second generation, at  $e\text{CO}_2$  and temperatures resulted that 5.49, 5.517, 5.546, 5.502, 4.823 and 4.679  $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$  whereas under  $a\text{CO}_2$  and temperatures resulted that 5.335, 5.444, 5.502, 5.332, 4.789 and 4.167  $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$ , respectively compared to that of first generation. Likewise similar trend was observed in the third generation at  $e\text{CO}_2$  and temperatures resulted that 5.51, 5.440, 5.568, 5.480, 4.746 and 4.568  $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$  whereas under  $a\text{CO}_2$  and temperatures resulted that 5.370, 5.459, 5.517, 5.283, 4.676 and 4.001  $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$ , respectively.

### Effect of elevated $\text{CO}_2$ and temperature on MRGR of *R. maidis* on maize for three successive generations

The impact of  $e\text{CO}_2$  with six constant temperatures on the mean relative growth rate of *R. maidis* was found significant in three generations. Significant differences were observed in first ( $F_{11, 24} = 4.73$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ), second ( $F_{11, 24} = 9.91$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ) and third ( $F_{11, 24} = 15.23$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ) generation under two  $\text{CO}_2$  and six temperature conditions (Table 3). In the first generation, the MRGR was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 35°C under  $e\text{CO}_2$  compared to that of  $a\text{CO}_2$  conditions. Under  $e\text{CO}_2$  (550 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures viz., 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35  $\pm$  1°C, resulted that 0.269, 0.299, 0.335, 0.389, 0.392 and 0.464  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$  whereas under  $a\text{CO}_2$  (380 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures resulted that 0.178, 0.227, 0.257, 0.322, 0.344 and 0.422  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ , respectively. In the second generation, the MRGR was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 35°C under  $e\text{CO}_2$  compared to that of  $a\text{CO}_2$  conditions. Under  $e\text{CO}_2$  (550 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures viz., 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35  $\pm$  1°C, resulted that 0.217, 0.243, 0.271, 0.352, 0.379 and 0.419  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$  whereas under  $a\text{CO}_2$  (380 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures resulted that 0.154, 0.199, 0.235, 0.281, 0.317 and 0.374  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ , respectively. In the third generation, the MRGR was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 27°C but significantly declined at 30°C to 35°C under  $e\text{CO}_2$  compared to that of  $a\text{CO}_2$  conditions. Under  $e\text{CO}_2$  (550 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures viz., 20, 25, 27, 30, 33 and 35  $\pm$  1°C, resulted that 0.232, 0.243, 0.296, 0.411, 0.416 and 0.540  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$  whereas

under  $a\text{CO}_2$  (380 ppm  $\pm$  25 ppm) and six constant temperatures resulted that 0.197, 0.218, 0.253, 0.365, 0.468 and 0.514  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ , respectively. The results are in agreement with [11], who found that increased weight and relative growth rate of *R. padi* at  $e\text{CO}_2$  conditions. The adult weight of aphids, viz., pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum* Harris (Aphididae: Hemiptera) on peas [9], potato aphid *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* Thomas (Aphididae: Hemiptera) on blue bind weed, *Solanum dulcamara* [4] decreased with increase in temperatures. In case of peach potato aphid, *Myzus persicae* Sulzer (Aphididae: Hemiptera) had a close linear correlation with temperature, decrease in body size at higher temperature. In contrast, green peach aphid, *Myzus antirrhinii* Lichtenstein (Aphididae: Hemiptera) the temperature relations were reversed [2]. The present results obtained in this study might provide useful information to aphid control and developing management strategies.

### Effect of elevated $\text{CO}_2$ , temperature and generations on first instar nymphal weight, adult aphid weight and MRGR of *R. maidis* on maize

The effect of  $e\text{CO}_2$ , temperature and generations on first nymphal instar weight, adult aphid weight and MRGR of *R. maidis* on maize was furnished in Table 4. The first nymphal instar weight of *R. maidis* showed non-significant difference by  $\text{CO}_2$  conditions ( $F_{1, 24} = 0.30^{\text{NS}}$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The first nymphal instar weight of *R. maidis* was significantly varied by temperature conditions ( $F_{11, 24} = 97.81$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The first nymphal instar weight of *R. maidis* was significantly varied by generations ( $F_{3, 24} = 128.56$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The interaction between  $\text{CO}_2$ , temperature and generations was found significant ( $F_{14, 24} = 92.12$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The adult aphid weight of *R. maidis* showed non-significant difference by  $\text{CO}_2$  conditions ( $F_{1, 24} = 0.91^{\text{NS}}$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The adult aphid weight of *R. maidis* was significantly varied by temperature conditions ( $F_{11, 24} = 30.61$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The adult aphid weight of *R. maidis* was significantly varied by generations ( $F_{3, 24} = 67.49$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The interaction between  $\text{CO}_2$ , temperature and generations was found significant ( $F_{14, 24} = 40.56$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The MRGR of *R. maidis* showed non-significant difference by  $\text{CO}_2$  conditions ( $F_{1, 24} = 2.68^{\text{NS}}$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The MRGR of *R. maidis* was significantly varied by temperature conditions ( $F_{11, 24} = 58.47$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The MRGR of *R. maidis* was significantly varied by generations ( $F_{3, 24} = 4.52$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ). The interaction between  $\text{CO}_2$ , temperature and generations was found significant ( $F_{14, 24} = 165.39$ ,  $P = <0.01$ ).

**Table 1:** Effect of elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and temperature on first instar nymphal weight, adult aphid weight and mean relative growth rate of *R. maidis* on maize in first generation

Temperatures (°C)	First instar nymphal weight ( $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$ )		Adult aphid weight ( $\mu\text{g day}^{-1}$ )		Mean relative growth rate ( $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ )	
	$a\text{CO}_2$ (380 ppm)	$e\text{CO}_2$ (550 ppm)	$a\text{CO}_2$ (380 ppm)	$e\text{CO}_2$ (550 ppm)	$a\text{CO}_2$ (380 ppm)	$e\text{CO}_2$ (550 ppm)
20	3.532 $\pm$ 0.022	3.67 $\pm$ 0.019	5.308 $\pm$ 0.006	5.43 $\pm$ 0.004	0.178 $\pm$ 0.011	0.269 $\pm$ 0.021
25	3.633 $\pm$ 0.018	3.782 $\pm$ 0.018	5.426 $\pm$ 0.004	5.468 $\pm$ 0.008	0.227 $\pm$ 0.021	0.299 $\pm$ 0.026
27	3.742 $\pm$ 0.015	3.897 $\pm$ 0.020	5.487 $\pm$ 0.009	5.539 $\pm$ 0.010	0.257 $\pm$ 0.023	0.335 $\pm$ 0.049
30	3.445 $\pm$ 0.027	3.750 $\pm$ 0.011	5.234 $\pm$ 0.005	5.373 $\pm$ 0.005	0.322 $\pm$ 0.033	0.389 $\pm$ 0.033
33	3.171 $\pm$ 0.029	3.165 $\pm$ 0.033	4.648 $\pm$ 0.009	4.772 $\pm$ 0.012	0.344 $\pm$ 0.039	0.392 $\pm$ 0.027
35	2.363 $\pm$ 0.053	2.782 $\pm$ 0.104	4.138 $\pm$ 0.013	4.651 $\pm$ 0.098	0.422 $\pm$ 0.041	0.464 $\pm$ 0.028
F test	169.10**		3309.37**		4.73**	
S.Em $\pm$	0.011		0.003		0.009	
LSD (p=0.05)	0.023		0.006		0.018	
LSD (p=0.01)	0.030		0.008		0.023	
CV (%)	1.18		0.21		9.50	

Factor 1 (CO <sub>2</sub> )			
<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	3.314	5.041	0.292
<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	3.508	5.207	0.358
F test	1817.18**	17403.25**	280.24**
S.Em ±	0.005	0.001	0.004
LSD(p = 0.05)	0.009	0.003	0.008
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.013	0.004	0.011
CV (%)	1.15	0.21	10.48
Factor 2 (Temperature (°C))			
20	3.600	5.374	0.224
25	3.708	5.447	0.262
27	3.820	5.514	0.296
30	3.598	5.304	0.358
33	3.168	4.711	0.367
35	2.573	4.395	0.443
F. test	6711.48**	90797.95**	331.86**
S.Em±	0.008	0.002	0.006
LSD(p = 0.05)	0.016	0.004	0.012
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.021	0.006	0.016

All values are mean ± standard deviation; \*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance NS = Not-significant

**Table 2:** Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on first instar nymphal weight, adult aphid weight and mean relative growth rate of *R. maidis* on maize in second generation

Temperatures (°C)	First instar nymphal weight (µg day <sup>-1</sup> )		Adult aphid weight (µg day <sup>-1</sup> )		Mean relative growth rate (µg µg <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	
	<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)
20	3.585±0.029	3.790±0.023	5.335±0.015	5.490±0.010	0.154±0.006	0.217±0.017
25	3.718±0.012	3.869±0.022	5.444±0.003	5.517±0.007	0.199±0.011	0.243±0.016
27	3.825±0.015	3.977±0.009	5.502±0.007	5.546±0.004	0.235±0.015	0.271±0.027
30	3.594±0.013	3.808±0.014	5.332±0.004	5.502±0.003	0.281±0.016	0.352±0.043
33	3.265±0.022	3.343±0.016	4.789±0.009	4.823±0.005	0.317±0.028	0.379±0.032
35	2.526±0.041	2.945±0.053	4.167±0.030	4.679±0.037	0.374±0.042	0.419±0.031
F test	261.44**		2770.18**		9.91**	
S.Em ±	0.007		0.003		0.008	
LSD (p = 0.05)	0.015		0.007		0.015	
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.019		0.009		0.020	
CV (%)	0.74		0.23		9.33	
Factor 1 (CO <sub>2</sub> )						
<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	3.419	5.095	0.260			
<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	3.622	5.261	0.314			
F test	4992.25**	17487.07**	311.67**			
S.Em ±	0.003	0.21	0.003			
LSD(p = 0.05)	0.006	0.001	0.006			
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.008	0.003	0.009			
CV (%)	0.71	0.003	9.20			
Factor 2 (Temperature (°C))						
20	3.686	5.415	0.185			
25	3.794	5.480	0.221			
27	3.901	5.524	0.253			
30	3.701	5.418	0.331			
33	3.304	4.807	0.335			
35	2.736	4.423	0.394			
F. test	13883.40**	70116.76**	440.61**			
S.Em±	0.005	0.002	0.005			
LSD(p = 0.05)	0.010	0.005	0.011			
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.014	0.006	0.014			

All values are mean ± standard deviation; \*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance NS = Not-significant

**Table 3:** Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on first instar nymphal weight, adult aphid weight and mean relative growth rate of *R. maidis* on maize in third generation

Temperatures (°C)	First instar nymphal weight (µg day <sup>-1</sup> )		Adult aphid weight (µg day <sup>-1</sup> )		Mean relative growth rate (µg µg <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )	
	<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	<i>a</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	<i>e</i> CO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)
20	3.677±0.013	3.85±0.019	5.370±0.005	5.51±0.004	0.197±0.012	0.232±0.011

25	3.774±0.019	3.925±0.009	5.459±0.006	5.440±0.387	0.218±0.014	0.243±0.064
27	3.865±0.017	4.034±0.014	5.517±0.004	5.568±0.006	0.253±0.020	0.296±0.021
30	3.384±0.017	3.693±0.025	5.283±0.006	5.480±0.003	0.365±0.036	0.411±0.045
33	2.671±0.044	3.026±0.023	4.676±0.007	4.746±0.007	0.468±0.046	0.416±0.032
35	1.878±0.078	2.352±0.048	4.001±0.013	4.568±0.041	0.514±0.045	0.540±0.039
F test	183.57**		43.85**		15.23**	
S.Em ±	0.009		0.032		0.010	
LSD (p=0.05)	0.019		0.063		0.019	
LSD (p=0.01)	0.025		0.083		0.026	
CV (%)	1.01		2.18		9.36	
Factor 1 (CO <sub>2</sub> )						
aCO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	3.208		5.051		0.336	
eCO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	3.481		5.219		0.358	
F test	5304.69**		173.71**		20.25**	
S.Em ±	0.004		0.013		0.005	
LSD(p = 0.05)	0.008		0.026		0.010	
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.010		0.036		0.014	
CV (%)	0.97		2.14		12.12	
Factor 2 (Temperature (°C))						
20	3.764		5.440		0.214	
25	3.850		5.450		0.233	
27	3.950		5.543		0.275	
30	3.539		5.382		0.388	
33	2.849		4.825		0.444	
35	2.115		4.711		0.527	
F. test	22779.71**		1055.47		752.88**	
S.Em±	0.007		0.022		0.006	
LSD(p = 0.05)	0.013		0.044		0.013	
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.018		0.058		0.017	

All values are mean ± standard deviation; \*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance NS=Not-significant

**Table 4:** Effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, temperature and generations on first instar nymphal weight, adult aphid weight and mean relative growth rate (MRGR) of *R. maidis* on maize

Temp (°C) X Generations	First instar nymphal weight (µg day <sup>-1</sup> )		Adult aphid weight (µg day <sup>-1</sup> )		Mean relative growth rate (µg µg <sup>-1</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> )		
	aCO <sub>2</sub> (380ppm)	eCO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	aCO <sub>2</sub> (380ppm)	eCO <sub>2</sub> (550ppm)	aCO <sub>2</sub> (380ppm)	eCO <sub>2</sub> (550ppm)	
F1	20	3.532±0.022	3.67±0.019	5.308±0.006	5.43±0.004	0.178±0.011	0.269±0.021
	25	3.633±0.018	3.782±0.018	5.426±0.004	5.468±0.008	0.227±0.021	0.299±0.026
	27	3.742±0.015	3.897±0.020	5.487±0.009	5.539±0.010	0.257±0.023	0.335±0.049
	30	3.445±0.027	3.750±0.011	5.234±0.005	5.373±0.005	0.322±0.033	0.389±0.033
	33	3.171±0.029	3.165±0.033	4.648±0.009	4.772±0.012	0.344±0.039	0.392±0.027
	35	2.363±0.053	2.782±0.104	4.138±0.013	4.651±0.098	0.422±0.041	0.464±0.028
F2	20	3.585±0.029	3.79±0.023	5.335±0.015	5.49±0.010	0.154±0.006	0.217±0.017
	25	3.718±0.012	3.869±0.022	5.444±0.003	5.517±0.007	0.199±0.011	0.243±0.016
	27	3.825±0.015	3.977±0.009	5.502±0.007	5.546±0.004	0.235±0.015	0.271±0.027
	30	3.594±0.013	3.808±0.014	5.332±0.004	5.502±0.003	0.281±0.016	0.379±0.043
	33	3.265±0.022	3.343±0.016	4.789±0.009	4.823±0.005	0.317±0.028	0.352±0.032
	35	2.526±0.041	2.945±0.053	4.167±0.030	4.679±0.037	0.374±0.042	0.419±0.031
F3	20	3.677±0.013	3.85±0.019	5.370±0.005	5.51±0.004	0.197±0.012	0.232±0.011
	25	3.774±0.019	3.925±0.009	5.459±0.006	5.440±0.387	0.218±0.014	0.243±0.064
	27	3.865±0.017	4.034±0.014	5.517±0.004	5.568±0.006	0.253±0.020	0.296±0.021
	30	3.384±0.017	3.693±0.025	5.283±0.006	5.480±0.003	0.365±0.036	0.411±0.045
	33	2.671±0.044	3.026±0.023	4.676±0.007	4.746±0.007	0.468±0.046	0.416±0.032
	35	1.878±0.078	2.352±0.048	4.001±0.013	4.568±0.041	0.514±0.045	0.540±0.039
F test	92.12**		40.56**		165.39**		
S.Em ±	0.042		0.030		0.011		
LSD (p = 0.05)	0.088		0.063		0.022		
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.119		0.085		0.030		
CV (%)	4.68		1.50		12.87		
Factor 1 (CO <sub>2</sub> )							
aCO <sub>2</sub> (380 ppm)	3.411		5.130		0.323		
eCO <sub>2</sub> (550 ppm)	3.440		5.161		0.316		

F test	2.17 <sup>NS</sup>	0.91 <sup>NS</sup>	2.68 <sup>NS</sup>
S.Em ±	0.024	0.019	0.006
LSD (p = 0.05)	0.050	0.040	0.012
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.068	0.054	0.016
CV (%)	4.37	3.90	12.74
Factor 2 (Temperature (°C))			
20	3.324	5.073	0.291
25	3.545	5.229	0.341
27	3.322	5.066	0.298
30	3.532	5.228	0.342
33	3.301	5.052	0.300
35	3.529	5.227	0.345
F test	97.81**	30.61**	58.47**
S.Em ±	0.024	0.033	0.007
LSD (p = 0.05)	0.048	0.065	0.013
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.064	0.085	0.017
CV (%)	8.36	5.24	12.53
Factor 3 (Generations)			
F <sub>1</sub>	3.411	5.124	0.325
F <sub>2</sub>	3.521	5.178	0.287
F <sub>3</sub>	3.345	5.135	0.347
F test	128.56**	67.49**	4.52*
S.Em ±	0.031	0.026	0.008
LSD (p = 0.05)	0.065	0.054	0.017
LSD (p = 0.01)	0.088	0.074	0.023

All values are mean ± standard deviation; \*\* Significant @ 1% level of significance NS = Not-significant

## Conclusion

The results concluded that the MRGR of *R. maidis* was significantly increased with temperature in the range of 20°C to 35°C (0.269 to 0.464  $\mu\text{g } \mu\text{g}^{-1}\text{day}^{-1}$ ) under  $e\text{CO}_2$  compared to that of  $a\text{CO}_2$  conditions across the three generations.

## References

1. Awmack CS, Leather SA. Growth and development. In: *Aphids as crop pests*. CAB Internationals (eds H van Emden and R. Harrington), 2007, 135-161.
2. Blackman RL, Spence JM. The effects of temperature on aphid morphology, using a multivariate approach. *European Journal of Entomology*. 1994; 91:7-22.
3. Blackman RL, Eastop VF. *Aphids on the world's crops: An Identification and Information Guide*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed: John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000, 466.
4. Flynn, DFB, Sudderth, EA, Bazzaz FA. Effects of aphid herbivory on biomass and leaf-level physiology of *Solanum dulcamara* under elevated temperature and  $\text{CO}_2$ . *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2006; 56:10-18.
5. Guerenstein PG, Hildebrand JG. Roles and effects of environmental carbon dioxide in insect life. *Annual Review of Entomology*. 2008; 53:161-178.
6. Hughes RD, Woolcock LT. A modification of Johnson's method of rearing aphids for ecological studies. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*. 1965; 8:728-736.
7. IPCC. Summary for Policymakers. In: *Global warming of 1.5°C. Contribution of Working Group-I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2018, 32.
8. Kun SB, Li HJ, Hu CX, Lin HM. Interactive effects of elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  and temperature on rice planthopper, *Nilaparvata lugens*. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*. 2014; 13(7): 1520-1529.
9. Lamb, RJ, MacKay, PA, Gerber, GH. Are development and growth of pea aphids, *Acyrtosiphon pisum*, in North America adapted to local Temperatures. *Oecologia*. 1987; 72(2):170-177.
10. Li Y, Akimoto S. Evaluation of an aphid rearing method using excised leaves and agar medium. *Entomological Science*, 2018.
11. Oeheme V, Hogy P, Franzaring J, Zebitz CPW, Fangmeier A. Response of spring crops and associated aphids to elevated atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations. *Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality*. 2011; 84:151-157.
12. Smith CM, Boyko EV. The molecular bases of plant resistance and defence responses to aphid feeding: current status. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*. 2007; 122:1-16.
13. Vanaja M, Maheswari M, Ratnakumar P, Ramkrishna YS. Monitoring and controlling of  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations in open top chambers for better understanding of plants response to elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  levels. *Indian Journal of Radio and Space Physics*. 2006; 35(6):193-197.